

the President's proposal on child care, they would be able to save \$358 in higher take-home pay.

So the question is, which is better? One thousand four hundred dollars, which is 3 months' worth of day care in Joliet, or the President's proposal for \$358, which is 3 weeks? Which is better, three weeks or three months, when it comes to helping working families?

Clearly, elimination of the marriage tax penalty will help 21 million married working couples. I am pleased to tell you the Marriage Tax Elimination Act now has 238 cosponsors. And what is the bottom line? We should make elimination of the marriage tax penalty our Number 1 priority as we work to provide greater tax relief and work to help working families keep more of what they earn, because we believe that working families should be able to keep more of what they earn, because you can spend it so much better back home than we can for you here in Washington.

When the Tax Code is unfair, just as the marriage tax penalty is unfair, we should eliminate it. We should eliminate it now.

If we look back at this Congress over the last several years, we have helped families in 1996 with the adoption tax credit to help families provide a loving home for a child in need of adoption. In 1997, we, of course, created the \$500 per child tax credit, which is going to benefit 3 million Illinois children \$1.50 in higher take-home pay, that will stay in Illinois rather than come to Washington.

In 1998, let us stop punishing marriage. In 1998, let's help this machinist and this schoolteacher in Joliet, and the other 21 million working married couples with two incomes who pay more in taxes just because they are married.

Mr. Speaker, let us stop punishing marriage. Let us make elimination of the marriage tax penalty our top priority, the centerpiece of this year's budget agreement. Let us eliminate the marriage tax penalty and let us eliminate it now.

PROVIDING TRANSIT PASSES TO HOUSE EMPLOYEES

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 21, 1997, the gentleman from Oregon (Mr. BLUMENAUER) is recognized during morning hour debates for 5 minutes.

Mr. BLUMENAUER. Mr. Speaker, in honor of tomorrow being Earth Day, I think it appropriate for us to pause for a moment and consider one of these pictures that is worth 1,000 words.

This photo of the block above the Metro South Station immediately behind the Cannon Building makes crystal clear how we in the House of Representatives can use our resources to improve the environment around us.

Tens of millions of dollars are being proposed right now to help the District

of Columbia, an area that is in decline, that is fighting road congestion, air pollution, with some rather elaborate proposals. Yet each day 5,000 people exit this transit station on their way to work in and around Capitol Hill, and it suggests a simple solution to encourage less traffic, less sprawl, and revitalize Washington, D.C.

Consider for a moment the over 6,000 parking spaces the House reserves for those employees who drive. These spots are on hold, guarded, secure 24 hours a day. They cost the taxpayer approximately \$1,500 a year per employee per parking space. On the other hand, employees who use public transportation are totally on their own. They have to meet the costs of their transportation, even though they work side-by-side with employees for whom the \$1,500 per year worth of transportation costs are covered by the House.

Now, I have no problem with people who want to or must drive to work. I do find it odd, however, that we encourage it over taking public transit, particularly after we have invested over \$10 billion for the transit program here in Washington, D.C. As an employer, we are sending hardly an Earth friendly message to our employees that we will only help them if they drive their car to work. We are ignoring those who take transit, the MARC train, Virginia Rail Express; you are out of luck.

Imagine for a moment what this would look like if 312 drivers did not park their cars, and instead it could be used for a park, an expansion of the Library of Congress, for that visitors center that we talk about.

For years, we have encouraged in the Federal Government, the private sector to join in the fight for cleaner air by reducing single-occupant vehicle trips. In and around the District of Columbia alone, over 1,000 businesses are members of the Washington Metro Transit Authority's Metro Pool Program that provides a Metro check. Over 50,000 public and private sector employees in D.C. regularly use this service. Yet while we have encouraged private businesses to offer transit benefits, the House of Representatives is one of the few, and certainly the most visible Federal office not to offer transit benefits to its employees. It sounds a little bit hypocritical to me.

The following Federal Agencies do offer these benefits: The Senate, the Senate of the United States Congress, the Office of the Architect of the Capitol, the Congressional Budget Office, the Bureau of Public Debt, the Supreme Court. Did I mention the Senate? One hundred thirty-four other Federal employers provide over 30,000 employees benefits for the metropolitan area.

I think it is time that we give House Members the same option that the United States Senate has had for its employees for over 5 years. I think we in the House are smart enough to do it, our employees deserve this modest tax

benefit, and it is a low-cost option that will improve the livability for our Nation's Capital.

I would suggest that it is time for us to look back here for a moment and imagine what would happen if we have only 5 percent of our employees who take advantage of this opportunity. We could have an opportunity to improve the environment, use our resources more effectively, and, in the long term, it would make a big difference in the budget of the House of Representatives.

I would urge strongly my colleagues to join with me and over 150 other cosponsors to add their name to House Resolution 37 that would provide an optional transportation benefit for House offices; that would provide the same \$21 per month tax benefit to our employees that has been given to the Senate. It was based on entirely using existing office funds; no additional requirement is necessary.

I hope that this is something that we can take a small step to recognize our obligation to the environment.

CUTTING EXPENSES AT THE UNITED NATIONS

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 21, 1997, the gentleman from Florida (Mr. STEARNS) is recognized during morning hour debates for 5 minutes.

Mr. STEARNS. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to call the House's attention to a very interesting article that appeared in the current issue of the National Review. The article is entitled "Unreformed United Nations," and it is written by Stephen Halper, who is a former White House and State Department official. He writes a syndicated column and anchors Radio America's "This Week From Washington."

Many of the comments he had in this article, I think, are appropriate to bring to the attention of my colleagues. Many of us here in Congress believe we need major reform in the United Nations, and the time is now.

Boutros-Ghali, who was the former head of the United Nations, once told the Washington Post "perhaps half the U.N. Staff does nothing useful." That is a staggering statement. Mr. Halper's argument is that Mr. Annan, who is the present head of the United Nations, is more tied to the U.N. bureaucracy, is a defender of the faith of the United Nations, and appears to be not committed to real reform. I hope this is not true.

Mr. Speaker, Congress has demanded reductions in the United Nations' worldwide staff of 53,000 people. Now, this does not include 10,000 consultants or the peacekeeping forces which reached 80,000 people in 1993 and reductions in the most generous salary and benefit package in public life. These are sort of simple things that I think most Members would agree with.

Mr. Annan, who is the leader of the United Nations, has put forward his own reform plan, and let me quote from his plan. "Consolidate 12 secretarial departments into five, but without

cutting any of the 9,000 strong secretarial staff."

□ 1245

Now, if you cut 10 percent, that would be 900. If you cut 1 percent, that would be 90. So, really, not even being able to cut 1 percent is surprising.

I go on with what he suggests his reform plan includes: "Three economic development departments, representing \$122 million of the Secretary's budget and employing 700 people, are reduced to one." That sounds like an efficient approach but, again, without reduction in any personnel, without reduction in any expenditures.

Also, he has two human rights offices in Geneva that are going to be merged into one; again, without any reduction in personnel or expenditures.

Anan's reform plan does not address salary issues or the lack of an independent Inspector General. Last year, a mid-level U.N. accountant made \$84,000 a year, as opposed to an average of \$41,962 for his private sector counterpart. An assistant secretary general made \$190,250. Now, this is an assistant secretary general. Do we know what the mayor of New York City makes? He makes \$130,000.

Most U.N. salaries are tax-free. Many employees have rent subsidies of up to \$3,800. To put that in perspective, we, as Members of Congress, have no rent subsidies. They also have annual educational grants of \$12,675 per child. Again, Mr. Anan does not propose any changes in any of these salary arrangements.

So I agree with some of the conclusions from Mr. Halper's article. He sets forth certain conditions that must be met before anybody in this Congress agrees to vote for payment of back U.N. dues: First, payment of past dues should hinge on a tangible reform in four clear, distinct categories. Again, Mr. Speaker, we are going to be voting on past dues this week, so it is appropriate that I talk about it.

We need to reduce bureaucracy, reduce salaries and perks for those who remain. We need the creation, once and for all, of an Inspector General, independent of the Secretary General; and, fourthly, a shift in priorities to humanitarian assistance programs and not to military intervention.

Mr. Speaker, I am beginning to draft a concurrent resolution that I will introduce shortly to the House that would state that the Congress will not approve any back dues until there is veritable proof that the United Nations has achieved the previously mentioned four simple conditions. I believe the United States and Congress must draw the line to force real and substantive reform at the U.N. before the U.N. receives one past dime of any financial obligation.

DR. BERTHA O. PENDLETON: A
LEGACY OF EXCELLENCE

The SPEAKER pro tempore (Mr. NETHERCUTT). Under the Speaker's an-

nounced policy of January 21, 1997, the gentleman from California (Mr. FILNER) is recognized during morning hour debates for 5 minutes.

Mr. FILNER. Mr. Speaker, I rise today to recognize Dr. Bertha Ousley Pendleton and her 40-year legacy to San Diego on the occasion of her retirement as Superintendent of the San Diego Unified School District.

Dr. Pendleton, as the superintendent of one of the Nation's largest school districts, leaves a legacy of excellence. She is a professional in the best sense of the word, a woman of strength, resilience, humor, honor and, above all, dedication to her profession and to the children whom she serves.

She is determined that our schools care about all children and that no child is left behind. She is determined that it is not only the squeaky wheel that gets the attention.

Her 5 years as superintendent capped a career that began as a classroom teacher in 1957 at Memorial Junior High School in San Diego. Following 11 years in this position, she served as a parent counselor at Morse High School, vice principal of Crawford High, principal at Lincoln High, coordinator and then director of compensatory education for the San Diego Unified School District, assistant superintendent, and deputy superintendent. She also serves as adjunct professor at Point Loma Nazarene College in San Diego and leads monthly television panel discussions on school issues.

Dr. Pendleton has participated in the U.S. Information Agency's AMPART program, lecturing to officials in South Africa on educational issues. She was a member of the U.S. delegation participating in the Urban Education Exchange in London. She has served on visitation teams to review Department of Defense schools in Japan and in England. She hosted President Clinton at the San Diego school where he signed the Goals 2000 bill into law.

Dr. Pendleton received her education at Knoxville College, San Diego State University, and USIU, culminating with a doctorate in education leadership from the University of San Diego in 1989.

Her contributions in the field of education outside of her own school district and in countless other community organizations is a further testament to her dedication. She served as co-chair of the Advisory Committee for the Danforth Foundation and on the Advisory Council on Dependents' Education in the Department of Defense. She was founder of the Association of African American Educators and was president of the Alpha Kappa Alpha Sorority. She was a member of the American Association of School Administrators-Urban Schools Committee, the Association of California School Administrators, and the San Diego Association of Administrative Women in Education.

The list goes on and on. She was a member of the Boards of Directors of Children's Hospital, the College of Re-

tailing, the Natural History Museum, New Standards, Rolling Readers, the San Diego Chamber of Commerce, United Way of San Diego County, and the YMCA. She was on the executive boards of the Children's Initiative, the Council of Great City Schools, and School-to-Career.

Dr. Pendleton is also a member of the San Diego Rotary and an elder, treasurer, and member of the Chancel Choir at Christ United Presbyterian Church.

Her awards list leaves me breathless. Highlights include recognition by the United Negro College Fund, the University of San Diego, the San Diego Urban League, the California State Assembly, Point Loma College, the San Diego Press Club, the Salvation Army, the National Council of Negro Women, the San Diego Administrators Association, the San Diego City Club, the San Diego Jaycees, the Girls Club of San Diego, the Association of California School Administrators, the National Association of Negro Women, the San Diego Union, and the YWCA.

She was selected as Who's Who Among San Diego Women, as one of the 87 people to watch in 1987 by San Diego Magazine, as a recipient of the California Women in Government Award, as Woman of the Year by the President's Council of Professional Women, as Educator of the Decade by Phi Delta Kappa, and as Mother of the Year by the Christ United Presbyterian Church.

As impressive as this list is, it really does not do justice to Dr. Bertha Pendleton. She believes that extraordinary measures are sometimes called for in order to help our children reach their potential. She works to instill hope and pride in all of our children. She strives to educate each and every child, so success and contributions to society will follow. She dares to keep alive the dream of freedom for all children.

Dr. Pendleton is being honored at a gala event on May 2, 1998, in San Diego, sponsored by the Association of African American Educators. All proceeds from this event will benefit the Bertha O. Pendleton Scholarship to provide financial assistance to graduating high school seniors who pursue a teaching career.

As a former president of the Board of Education of the San Diego Unified School District, I am privileged to count Bertha as a friend and trusted associate, and it is my honor to add my congratulations to the many that she is receiving upon her retirement. Her contributions to the San Diego School District and to its children and teachers will live on for decades to come.

CONGRATULATIONS TO EDWARD
LARSON ON A PULITZER PRIZE
FOR BEST WORK OF HISTORY

The SPEAKER pro tempore. Under the Speaker's announced policy of January 21, 1997, the gentleman from Washington (Mr. METCALF) is recognized during morning hour debates for 5 minutes.